

**REMARKS**

The Examiner rejected Claims 1-5 and 7-10 under 35 U.S.C.102(a). Claim 6 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Amended Claim 1 corresponds to original Claims 1, 8 (part) and 9 (part) as well as further features from the description - especially from Page 4, Lines 17-19 and Page 5, Lines 2-4 in the WO publication. Thus, the added part of claim 2 includes:

said interconnecting means (5) including a first cylindrical part (11), having a diameter exceeding width of said through groove (6), and with a completely or partly surrounding groove (12) arranged to grip at least one vertically extending part that is in one piece with the at least one supporting profile (3,3').

The cited reference to Teramachi et al. does not show what is evident from the present main claim, *i.e.*, no **cylindrical** part and no vertically extending part **that is in one piece with the** at least one supporting profile. The wall mount structure according to the present invention is less complicated to manufacture and thus more cost effective than the wall-mounting device according to the Teramachi et al. patent.


Based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present application should now be in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is in order, and such favorable action and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

However, if after reviewing the above amendments and remarks, the Examiner has any questions or comments, she is cordially invited to contact the undersigned attorneys.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC

By

  
John C. Holman  
Reg. No. 22,769

400 Seventh Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004-2201  
(202) 638-6666

Atty. Docket: P71452US0  
Date: December 22, 2008  
JCH/JLS/ljb